

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/750,075	12/31/2003	Louis Lippincott	ITL.1703US (P17498)	2083	
21906 7590 06/07/2011 TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1616 S. VOSS	ROAD, SUITE 750		ANYIKIRE, C	ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E	
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2482		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/07/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	
Review	

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/750,075		LIPPINCOTT ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER KELLEY		2482	

This is in resonance to the	Pro-Anneal Brief	Dequeet for Rev	riow filad 13	April 2011

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 13 April 2011.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal bi brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicar rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appea from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of ti 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date lable.
☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-17</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>CHRISTOPHER S. KELLEY</u> .	(3) <u>Chikaodili Anyikire</u> .
(2) Allen Wong.	(4)
/CHRISTOPHER S KELLEY/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	/Allen Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2482

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20110531